

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,248	10/19/2001	Paivi Maisi	1390-0126P	1293
2292	7590 01/16/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	EWART KOLASCH &	NOLAN, PATRICK J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
•			DATE MAIL CD. 01/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/019,248	MAISI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for R ply	ars on the cov r sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	otober 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 18-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-30,32,41-47 and 4 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-23, 31, 33-40, 48, 50-51 is/are rejeent 5. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	9 is/are withdrawn from cor	sideration.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence was included in the fi	s have been received. s have been received in Applity documents have been received in Applity documents have been received. The certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § t sentence of the specification wisional application has been priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. In received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,248

Art Unit: 1644

- 1. Claims 18-51 are pending.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 18-23, 31, 33-40, 48 and 50-51 in the Paper received 10-24-3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that according to MPEP 1850, since no lack of unity was found during the IPER, the claims should be examined together. This is not found persuasive because MPEP section 1893.03(d) provides Examiner guidance on lack of unity in 35 USC 371 applications. There is no requirement to subjugate the US examination process to the findings of the IPER.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Claims 24-30, 32, 41-47 and 49 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the Paper received 10-24-03.
- 4. Claims 31 and 33-34, 48 and 50-51 provides for the use of antibodies to MMP's, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 31, 33-34, 48 and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,248

Art Unit: 1644

6. Claims 18-23 and 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Torii et al.

Torii et al., teaches detecting MMPs in BAL fron patients with ARDS in a single step sandwich enzyme immunoassay, where the levels of the MMPs are higher in patients with ARDS are higher than controls.

The claimed invention is anticipated by the prior art teachings.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

at I Nolan

January 12, 2004